1 2 3 4	HINKLE, JACHIMOWICZ, POINTER & E GERALD A. EMANUEL, Bar#61049 2007 West Hedding Street, Suite 100 San Jose, CA 95128 Telephone: (408) 246-5500 Facsimile: (408) 246-1051 E-mail:gemanuel@hinklelaw.com	MANUEL	
5	Attornava for Plaintiff		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff JENNY WOLFES		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
0	SAN JOSE DIVISION		
11	JENNY WOLFES,  Plaintiff,  vs.  BURLINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY AND DOES 1 to 25, Inclusive,  Defendants.  JENNY WOLFES,  Plaintiff,  vs.  BURLINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY	) ) ) [PROPOSED	ATING CASES
22   23   24   25	AND DOES 1 to 25, Inclusive,  Defendants.	) ) ) ) )	
26 27	On March 14, 2008, this cause came on to be heard on the motion of Jenny Wolfes for consolidation of the above-captioned actions pursuant to Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil		
28	Procedure.		
	_	1-	

1 This Court has reviewed the papers submitted on the motion, both in favor and opposed, 2 has considered the declarations and other evidence presented, has consulted the authorities cited, 3 and heard the arguments of counsel. This Court refers to and incorporates herein the remarks, if 4 any, it made on the record at the close of the hearing on March 14, 2008, as its Statement of 5 Decision in this matter. For the reasons stated at that time, the Court is of the opinion that the 6 above-captioned actions ought to be consolidated. Therefore, 7 IT IS ADJUDGED AND ORDERED THAT: 8 1. The Motion of Jenny Wolfes for consolidation of the above-captioned actions is 9 **GRANTED**; 10 2. All of the above-captioned actions are consolidated for all purposes; and 11 3. A status conference in all of these actions, as consolidated, will be held on 12 for rescheduling of discovery and trial in the consolidated 13 actions. 14 15 Hon. Ronald M. Whyte U.S. District Court Judge 16 17 WOLFES v. BURLINGTON INSURANCE COMPANY N.D. Cal. Case Nos. C07 00696 RMW 18 C07 04657 RMW 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 -2-